

UDC 530.12; 531.51

COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS OF QUANTUM UNIVERSE AND THE PROBLEM OF DARK MATTER AND ENERGY^a

V.E. Kuzmichev, V.V. Kuzmichev

*Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Nat. Acad. of Sci. of Ukraine
14b, Metrolohichna Str., 03143 Kiev, Ukraine*

Abstract

In the present report we make an attempt to give an answer to the question of modern cosmology about the nature of the dark matter and energy in our universe. On the basis of our quantum cosmological approach, proposed in 1997-2002, we show that there can be two types of collective states in the universe. One of them relates to a gravitational field, another is connected with a matter (scalar) field which fills the universe on all stages of its evolution. The collective excitations of the scalar field above its true vacuum reveal themselves mainly in the form of dark matter and energy. Under the action of the gravitational forces they decay and produce the non-baryonic dark matter, optically bright and dark baryons. We have calculated the corresponding energy densities which prove to be in good agreement with the data from the recent observations.

1. Introduction

Modern cosmology poses the principle question about the nature of the components of our universe. The recent astrophysical observations provide the strong empirical evidence that the total energy density of the universe equals to $\Omega_0 = 1$ to within 10% (in units of critical density) [1, 2]. At the same time the mean matter density consistent with big-bang nucleosynthesis is estimated by the value $\Omega_M \approx 0.3$ [2]-[4]. It is assumed that the density Ω_M is contributed by the optically bright baryons ($\Omega_b \approx 0.005$) and dark matter which consists of the dark baryons ($\Omega_{db} \approx 0.04$) and matter of uncertain origin and composition (known as cold dark matter, $\Omega_{cdm} \approx 0.3$). The contribution from neutrinos is negligible, $\Omega_\nu \lesssim 0.003$. The lack of density $\Omega_X \sim 0.7$, where

$\Omega_X = \Omega_0 - \Omega_M$, is ascribed to so-called dark energy [3]-[6]. Its nature is unknown and expected properties are unusual. This dark energy is unobservable (in no way could it be detected in galaxies), spatially homogeneous and, as it is expected, it has large, negative pressure. The last property should guarantee an agreement with the present-day accelerated expansion of the universe observed in measurements of type Ia supernovae [7, 8].

In this report we make an attempt to explain the matter structure of our universe on the basis of the conjecture that the collective excitations of previously unknown type exist in it. At the heart of this approach we put the quantum cosmology formulated in Refs. [9]-[13]. It is shown that there are two types of collective states in the quantum universe. One of them relates to a gravitational field, another is connected with a matter (scalar) field which fills the universe on all stages of its evolution. The quantization of the fields which describe the geometrical and matter properties of the universe is made. We demonstrate that in the early epoch the non-zero energy of the vacuum in the form of the primordial scalar field is a source of the transitions of the quantum universe from one state to another. During these transitions the number of the quanta of the collective excitations of the gravitational field increases and this growth manifests itself as an expansion of the universe. The collective excitations of the scalar field above its true vacuum reveal themselves mainly in the form of dark (nonluminous) matter and energy. Under the action of the gravitational forces they decay and produce the non-baryonic dark matter, optically bright and dark baryons and leptons. For the state of the universe with large number of the matter field quanta the total energy density, density of (both optically bright and dark) baryons, and density of non-baryonic dark matter are calculated. The theoretical values prove to be in good agreement with the data from the recent observations in our universe.

On the system of units. In this report we use dimensionless variables. The modified Planck values are chosen as units of length $l = \sqrt{2G/(3\pi)} = 0.74 \times 10^{-33}$ cm, mass/energy $m_p = \sqrt{3\pi/(2G)} = 2.65 \times 10^{19}$ GeV, and time $t_p = \sqrt{2G/(3\pi)} = 2.48 \times 10^{-44}$ sec, where $\hbar = c = 1$. The unit of density is $\rho_p = 3/(8\pi G l^2) = \frac{9}{16} \rho_{pl}$, where ρ_{pl} is the standard Planck density. The scalar field ϕ is measured in units $\phi_p = \sqrt{3/(8\pi G)} = l\sqrt{\rho_p}$, while the potential $V(\phi)$ in ρ_p .

^aA talk given at the International Conference "Modern Problems of Theoretical Physics" Dedicated to the 90th anniversary of A.S. Davydov (December 9-15, 2002, Kiev, Ukraine)

2. Quantum equation of motion

Let us consider a homogeneous, isotropic and closed universe filled with primordial matter in the form of the uniform scalar field ϕ . The potential $V(\phi)$ of this field will be regarded as a positive definite function of ϕ . The quantum analog of such universe is described by the equation [9]–[13]

$$2i\partial_T\Psi = \left[\partial_a^2 - \frac{2}{a^2}\partial_\phi^2 - U\right]\Psi, \quad (1)$$

where its wavefunction $\Psi(a, \phi, T)$ depends on the scale factor a ($0 \leq a < \infty$), the scalar field ϕ ($-\infty < \phi < \infty$), and the time variable T ($-\infty < T < \infty$). The last is related to the synchronous proper time t by the differential equation $dt = a dT$ [9, 10]. The functional

$$U = a^2 - a^4 V(\phi) \quad (2)$$

plays the role of an effective potential of the interaction between the gravitational and matter fields. It should be emphasized that the equation (1) is not an ordinary Schrödinger equation with a potential (2). It is a constraint equation for the wavefunction (see, e.g., [14, 15]). The famous Wheeler-DeWitt equation for the minisuperspace model (the wavefunction depends on the finite number of variables) is the special case ($\partial_T\Psi = 0$) of the more general equation (1). The discussion of the possible solutions of this equation, their interpretation, and the corresponding references can be found in the Reviews [16, 17]. In the region $a^2V > 1$ the solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation and the solutions of (1) as well can be associated with the exponential expansion of the universe [9, 13, 18].

A general solution of (1) can be represented by a superposition

$$\Psi(a, \phi, T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE e^{\frac{i}{2}ET} C(E) \psi_E(a, \phi) \quad (3)$$

of the states ψ_E which satisfy a stationary equation

$$\left(-\partial_a^2 + \frac{2}{a^2}\partial_\phi^2 + U - E\right)\psi_E = 0, \quad (4)$$

where E is the eigenvalue. It has a physical dimension of action, while here it is written in units $\hbar/2$. The function $C(E)$ characterizes the distribution in E of the states of the universe at the instant $T = 0$.

Let us compare (1) and (4) with the corresponding Schrödinger equations. It is well known that when a Hamiltonian does not depend explicitly on the time variable the quantum system is described by the states with

the time-dependence in the form $\exp(-i\mathcal{E}t)$. The time variable t and the classical energy $\mathcal{E}$ can be related with each other through the formal transformation $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow i\partial_t$ [19, 20]. In the case of quantum cosmology there is an analogous formal transformation between the classical parameter E , which enters into the energy-momentum tensor of radiation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_0^0 &= \frac{E}{a^4}, \quad \tilde{T}_1^1 = \tilde{T}_2^2 = \tilde{T}_3^3 = -\frac{E}{3a^4}, \\ \tilde{T}_\nu^\mu &= 0 \text{ for } \mu \neq \nu, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and the time variable T , $E \rightarrow -2i\partial_T$ (minus originates from the specific character of the gravitational problem, while the additional factor 2 results from the choice of units). This analogy demonstrates that the role, played in ordinary quantum mechanics by the time variable t , is assumed by the variable T . Thus in the framework of the minisuperspace model the equation (1) solves the problem of correct definition of the time coordinate in quantum cosmology. The role of time in quantum gravity and the difficulties with the introduction of time variable suitable for the description of the dynamics in quantum cosmology are elucidated in the Reviews [15, 16].

The effective potential U has the form of barrier of the finite width and height in the variable a . Therefore it is convenient to represent the solution of (4) in the form

$$\psi_E(a, \phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \varphi_\epsilon(a, \phi) f_\epsilon(\phi; E), \quad (6)$$

where the function φ_ϵ satisfies the equation

$$(-\partial_a^2 + U - \epsilon)\varphi_\epsilon = 0. \quad (7)$$

The eigenvalue ϵ and eigenfunction φ_ϵ depend parametrically on ϕ . The functions φ_ϵ describe the states of the continuous spectrum. Using the explicit form of the solution of (7) in the region $a^2V \gg 1$ [9, 13], it is easy to show that the functions φ_ϵ can be normalized by the condition

$$\int_0^\infty da \varphi_\epsilon^*(a, \phi) \varphi_{\epsilon'}(a, \phi) = \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon'). \quad (8)$$

Then the function f_ϵ will satisfy the equation

$$\partial_\phi^2 f_\epsilon + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon' K_{\epsilon\epsilon'} f_{\epsilon'} = 0, \quad (9)$$

where the kernel

$$K_{\epsilon\epsilon'}(\phi; E) = \int_0^\infty da \varphi_\epsilon^* \partial_\phi^2 \varphi_{\epsilon'} \quad (10)$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^\infty da \varphi_\epsilon^* \partial_\phi \varphi_{\epsilon'} \partial_\phi + \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon' - E) \int_0^\infty da \varphi_\epsilon^* a^2 \varphi_{\epsilon'}.$$

This equation can be reduced to a solvable form if one defines concretely the problem. In order to find the function ψ_E , the equation (4) must be supplemented with the boundary condition. The solutions which describe the quasistationary states (with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n + i\Gamma_n$, where ϵ_n and Γ_n are the positions and widths of the levels with the numbers $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are the most interesting. The waves φ_ϵ outgoing toward greater values of a correspond to such states [9, 10, 13].

Just as in classical cosmology which uses a model of the slow-roll scalar field [17, 21], in quantum cosmology based on the equation (1) it makes sense to consider a scalar field ϕ which slowly evolves into its true vacuum state, $V(\phi_{vac}) = 0$, from some initial state $\phi = \phi_{start}$, where $V(\phi_{start}) \sim \rho_{pl}$.

For the slow-roll potential V , when $|d \ln V / d\phi|^2 \ll 1$, the function φ_ϵ describes the universe in the adiabatic approximation. In this case one can separate the slow motion (with respect to the variable ϕ) from the rapid one (with respect to the variable a) in the universe. This means that the universe expands (or contracts) more rapidly than the state of matter has time to change.

The calculations demonstrate [10, 11, 13] that the first ($n = 0$) quasistationary state appears when V decreases to $V_{in} = 0.08 = 0.045\rho_{pl}$. It has the parameters: $\epsilon_0 = 2.62 = 1.31\hbar$, $\Gamma_0 = 0.31 = 1.25 \times 10^{43} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The lifetime of the universe in this state, $\tau = 0.8 \times 10^{-43} \text{ s}$, is three times greater than the Planck time t_p . The instant of the origination of the first quasistationary state can be taken as a reference point of time against the scale of T .

A further decrease of V leads to an increase of the number of quasistationary states of the universe. The levels which have emerged earlier shift towards the oscillator values $\epsilon_n^0 = 4n + 3$ which they would have in the limit $V \rightarrow 0$ (see below), while their widths

$$\Gamma_n \approx \exp \left\{ -2 \int_{a_1}^{a_2} da \sqrt{U - \epsilon_n} \right\} \quad \text{at} \quad \Gamma_n \ll \epsilon_n \quad (11)$$

decrease exponentially. Here $a_1 < a_2$ are the turning points specified by the condition $U = \epsilon_n$. As a result the lifetime of the universe in one of such states is many orders greater than the Planck time and at $V \sim 10^{-122}$ it reaches the values $\tau \sim 10^{61} \sim 10^{17} \text{ s}$ comparable with the age of our universe.

3. Collective states of the gravitational field

Within the lifetime the state of the universe can be considered with a high accuracy as a stationary state which takes the place of a quasistationary one when its

width becomes zero [22]. Taking into account that such states emerge at $V \ll 1$, while in the prebarrier region ($a < a_1$) $a^2 V < 1$ always, the solutions of (7) can be written in the form of expansion in powers of V on the interval $\Delta\phi = |\phi_{vac} - \phi_{start}|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n = |n\rangle - \frac{V}{4} & \left[\frac{1}{8} \sqrt{N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)} |n-2\rangle \right. \\ & + \sqrt{N(N-1)} \left(N - \frac{1}{2} \right) |n-1\rangle \\ & - \sqrt{(N+1)(N+2)} \left(N + \frac{3}{2} \right) |n+1\rangle \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)} |n+2\rangle \right] \\ & - O(V^2) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for the wavefunction and

$$\epsilon_n = \epsilon_n^0 - \frac{3}{4} V [2N(N+1) + 1] - O(V^2) \quad (13)$$

for the position of the level, where $N = 2n + 1$. Here $|n\rangle$ is the eigenfunction, $\epsilon_n^0 = 2N + 1$ is the eigenvalue of the equation for an isotropic oscillator with zero orbital angular momentum

$$(-\partial_a^2 + a^2 - \epsilon_n^0) |n\rangle = 0. \quad (14)$$

The wavefunction $\langle a|n\rangle$ describes the geometrical properties of the universe as a whole. Since the gravitational field is considered as a variation of space-time metric [23], then this wavefunction also characterizes the quantum properties of the gravitational field which is considered as an aggregate of the elementary excitations of a quantum oscillator (14). It should be emphasized that in this approach the role of the dynamic variables is taken by the variables (a, ϕ) of the minisuperspace and after the quantization such elementary excitations cannot be identified with gravitons. Introducing the operators

$$A^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a - \partial_a), \quad A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a + \partial_a), \quad (15)$$

the state $|n\rangle$ can be represented in the form

$$\begin{aligned} |n\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} (A^\dagger)^N |vac\rangle, \quad A |vac\rangle = 0, \\ |vac\rangle &= \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \exp \left\{ -\frac{a^2}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $A^\dagger$ and A satisfy the ordinary canonical commutation relations, $[A, A^\dagger] = 1$, $[A, A] = [A^\dagger, A^\dagger] = 0$, then

one can interpret them as the operators for the creation and annihilation of quanta of the collective excitations of the gravitational field (in the sense indicated above). We shall call it g-quantum. The integer N gives the number of g-quanta in the n -th state of the gravitational field.

For clearness we rewrite (14) in the physical units using for this purpose the Hamiltonian from [9],

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p} \partial_R^2 + \frac{k_p}{2} R^2\right) \psi_n(R) = E_n \psi_n(R), \quad (17)$$

where $R = la$ is a “radius” of the curved universe, while $k_p = (m_p c^2)^3 (\hbar c)^{-2}$ can be called a “stiffness coefficient of space (or gravitational field)”. Its numerical value is $k_p = 4.79 \times 10^{85} \text{ GeV/cm}^2 = 0.76 \times 10^{83} \text{ g/s}^2$.

According to (17) the states (16) can be interpreted as those which emerge as a result of motion of some imaginary particle with the mass m_p and zero orbital angular momentum in the field with the potential energy $U(R) = k_p R^2/2$. This motion causes the equidistant spectrum of energy $E_n = \hbar \omega_p (N + \frac{1}{2}) = 2 \hbar \omega_p (n + \frac{3}{4})$, where $\hbar \omega_p = m_p c^2$ is the energy of g-quantum, $\omega_p = t_p^{-1}$ is the oscillation frequency.

In the quantum cosmological system of the most general type which is a superposition of the waves φ_ϵ incident upon the barrier and scattered by the barrier [9, 10, 13], it occurs the formation of the fundamentally new state as a result of a slow decreasing of the potential V (vacuum energy density [16, 17]). When the potential V reaches the value V_{in} the wave φ_ϵ with $\epsilon \approx \epsilon_0$ penetrates into the prebarrier region [13] and it results in the transition of the cosmological system to a quasistationary state. In this state the system is characterized by the expectation values of the scale factor $\langle \varphi_0 | a | \varphi_0 \rangle = 1.25 = 0.93 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}$ and total energy density $\rho_{n=0} = 1.16 = 0.65 \rho_{pl} = 3.35 \times 10^{93} \text{ g/cm}^3$ [10, 13]. These parameters are of Planck scale. Such a new formation has a lifetime which exceeds the Planck time and one can consider it as a quantum cosmological system with well-defined physical properties. We call it the quantum universe. Such universe can evolve by means of a change of its quantum state. In every quantum state it can be characterized by the energy density, observed dimensions, lifetime (age), proper dimensions of non-homogeneities of the matter density, amplitude of fluctuation of radiation temperature, power spectrum of density perturbations, angular structure of the radiation anisotropies, deceleration parameter, total entropy, and others [13].

The interaction of the gravitational field with a vacuum which has a non-zero energy density ($V(\phi) \neq 0$)

in the range $\Delta\phi$ results in the fact that the wavefunction (12) is a superposition of the states $|n\rangle$, $|n \pm 1\rangle$ and $|n \pm 2\rangle$. From (12) and (13) it follows that the quantum universe can be characterized by a quantum number n .

The physical state $|n\rangle$ (16) is chosen so that $|0\rangle$ describes an initial state of the gravitational field. From the point of view of the occupation number representation there is only one g-quantum in such state. When the gravitational field transits from the state $|n\rangle$ into the neighbouring one $|n+1\rangle$ two g-quanta are created, while the corresponding energy increases by $2 \hbar \omega_p$. In the inverse transition two g-quanta are absorbed and the energy decreases. The transitions with the creation/absorption of one g-quantum are forbidden. The vacuum state $|vac\rangle$ in (16) describes the universe without any g-quantum.

The g-quanta are bosons. Since the probability of the creation of a boson per unit time grows with the increase in number of bosons in a given state [19], then an analogous effect must reveal itself in the quantum universe during the creation of g-quanta. As $\langle a \rangle \sim \sqrt{(N+1)/2}$, where the brackets denote the averaging over the states φ_n , then the growth in number N of g-quanta (or number of levels n) means the increase in expectation value of the scale factor. In other words the expansion of the universe reflects the fact of the creation of g-quanta (under the transition to a higher level). The increase in probability of the creation of these quanta per unit time leads to the accelerated expansion of the universe. This phenomenon becomes appreciable only when n reaches the large values. The observations of type Ia supernovae provide the evidence that today our universe is expanding with acceleration [4, 7, 8]. The qualitative explanation of this phenomenon mentioned above is confirmed by the concrete quantitative calculations of the deceleration parameter [13]. On this point the theory is in good agreement with the measurements of type Ia supernovae.

When the potential V decreases to the value $V \ll 0.1$ the number of available states of the universe increases up to $n \gg 1$. By the moment when the scalar field will roll in the location where $V(\phi_{vac}) = 0$ the universe can be found in the state with $n \gg 1$. This can occur because the emergence of new quantum levels and the (exponential) decrease in width of old ones result in the appearance of competition between the tunneling through the barrier U and allowed transitions between the states, $n \rightarrow n$, $n \pm 1$, $n \pm 2$. A comparison between these processes demonstrates [9, 10, 13] that the transition $n \rightarrow n+1$ with creation of g-quanta is more probable than any other allowed transitions or decay. The vacuum energy of the early universe originally

stored by the field ϕ with a potential $V(\phi_{start})$ is a source of transitions.

4. Collective states of the matter field

According to accepted model the scalar field ϕ descends to the state with zero energy density, $V(\phi_{vac}) = 0$. Then the field ϕ begins to oscillate with a small amplitude about equilibrium vacuum value ϕ_{vac} . We shall describe these new states of the quantum universe assuming that by the moment when the field ϕ reaches the value ϕ_{vac} the universe transits to the state with $n \gg 1$. This means that the “radius” of the universe has become $\langle a \rangle > 10l$. The wavefunction of the universe in the state with a given n takes the following form up to the terms $\sim O(V^2)$

$$\psi_n(a, \phi) = \langle a|n \rangle f_n(\phi; E) \quad \text{at } n \gg 1, \quad (18)$$

where the function f_n satisfies the equation

$$[\partial_x^2 + z - V(x)] f_n = 0. \quad (19)$$

Here $x = \sqrt{m/2} (2N)^{3/4} (\phi - \phi_{vac})$ characterizes the deviation of the field ϕ , $z = (\sqrt{2N}/m) (1 - E/(2N))$, $V(x) = (2N)^{3/2} V(\phi)/m$, and m is a dimensionless parameter which it is convenient to choose as $m^2 = [\partial_\phi^2 V(\phi)]_{\phi_{vac}}$. The formulae (18) and (19) follow from (6), (9), and (12) if one takes into account resonance behaviour of the wave φ_ϵ at $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$ [11, 13].

Since $\langle a \rangle = \sqrt{N/2}$, where averaging was performed over the states (18), then $V(x)$ is a potential energy of the scalar field contained in the universe with the volume $\sim \langle a \rangle^3$. Expanding $V(x)$ in powers of x we obtain

$$V(x) = x^2 + \alpha x^3 + \beta x^4 + \dots, \quad (20)$$

where the parameters

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{\lambda}{m^{5/2}} \frac{1}{(2N)^{3/4}}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{6} \frac{\nu}{m^3} \frac{1}{(2N)^{3/2}}, \quad (21)$$

and $\lambda = [\partial_\phi^3 V(\phi)]_{\phi_{vac}}$, $\nu = [\partial_\phi^4 V(\phi)]_{\phi_{vac}}$. Since $N \gg 1$, then the coefficients $|\alpha| \ll 1$ and $|\beta| \ll 1$ even at $m^2 \sim \lambda \sim \nu$. Therefore the equation (19) can be solved using the perturbation theory for stationary problems with a discrete spectrum. We take for the state of the unperturbed problem the state of the harmonic oscillator with the equation of motion

$$[\partial_x^2 + z^0 - x^2] f_n^0 = 0. \quad (22)$$

In the occupation number representation one can write

$$f_{ns}^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s!}} (B_n^\dagger)^s f_{n0}^0, \quad B_n f_{n0}^0 = 0, \\ f_{n0}^0(x) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} \quad (23)$$

with $z^0 = 2s + 1$, where $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$B_n^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x - \partial_x), \quad B_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x + \partial_x). \quad (24)$$

Here $B_n^\dagger$ (B_n) can be interpreted as the creation (annihilation) operator which increases (decreases) the number of quanta of the collective excitations of the scalar field in the universe in the n -th state. We shall call them the matter quanta. The variable s is the number of matter quanta in the state $n \gg 1$. It can be considered as an additional quantum number.

Using (19), (20) and (23) we obtain

$$z = 2s + 1 + \Delta z, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\Delta z = \frac{3}{2} \beta \left(s^2 + s + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{15}{8} \alpha^2 \left(s^2 + s + \frac{11}{30}\right) \\ - \frac{\beta^2}{16} (34s^3 + 51s^2 + 59s + 21) \quad (26)$$

takes into account a self-action of matter quanta. In order to determine the physical meaning of the quantities which enter the equation (19) with the potential (20) we rewrite it in the ordinary physical units,

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 r^2 + \mathcal{E}_1 \left(\frac{r}{l}\right)^3 + \mathcal{E}_2 \left(\frac{r}{l}\right)^4 \right. \\ \left. + \dots - \mathcal{E}\right) f_n(r) = 0, \quad (27)$$

where we denote $\mu = m_p m^{-1}$, $r = lx$, $\omega = m t_p^{-1}$, $\mathcal{E}_1 = m m_p c^2 \alpha/2$, $\mathcal{E}_2 = m m_p c^2 \beta/2$, $\mathcal{E} = m m_p c^2 z/2$. Here $l = \sqrt{\hbar/(\mu\omega)}$ is the Planck length. According to (27) an imaginary particle with a mass μ performs the anharmonic oscillations and generates the energy spectrum

$$\mathcal{E} = \hbar \omega \left(s + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\right), \quad (28)$$

where $\hbar \omega = m m_p c^2$ is the energy of matter quantum, and m can be interpreted as its mass (in units m_p). The quantity

$$M = m \left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \Delta M, \quad (29)$$

where $\Delta M = m \Delta z/2$, is a mass of the universe with s matter quanta. Since we are interested in large values of s , then for the estimation of ΔM let us assign the values $s \sim 10^{80}$ and $n \sim 10^{122}$. These parameters describe our universe, where s is equal to the equivalent number of baryons in it and $\langle a \rangle \sim 10^{28}$ cm is a size of its observed part [11, 12]. In this case $\Delta M \sim O((\nu/m^2)10^{-24}, (\lambda/m^2)^2 10^{-24})$. Hence when the number of the matter quanta becomes very large their self-action can be a fortiori neglected.

5. The matter structure of the quantum universe

In the early epoch (on the interval $\Delta\phi$) there are no matter in the ordinary sense in the universe, since the field ϕ is only a form of existence of the vacuum, while the vacuum energy density is decreasing with time. In spite of the fact that $V(\phi_{vac}) = 0$, the average value $\langle V \rangle \neq 0$. It contributes to the vacuum energy density in the epoch when the matter quanta are created and determines the cosmological constant $\Lambda = 3 \langle V \rangle$. The universe is filling with matter in the form of aggregate of quanta of the collective excitations of the primordial scalar field.

Let us consider the possible matter structure of the universe from the point of view of quantum cosmology. For this purpose we change from the quantum equation (4) to the corresponding Einstein equation for homogeneous, isotropic, and closed universe filled with the uniform matter and radiation. Averaging over the states ψ_E we obtain the Einstein-Friedmann equation in terms of the average values

$$\left(\frac{\partial_t \langle a \rangle}{\langle a \rangle} \right)^2 = \langle \rho \rangle - \frac{1}{\langle a \rangle^2}, \quad (30)$$

where we have neglected the dispersion, $\langle a^2 \rangle \sim \langle a \rangle^2$, and $\langle a^6 \rangle \sim \langle a \rangle^6$. The average value

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \langle V \rangle + \frac{2}{\langle a \rangle^6} \langle -\partial_\phi^2 \rangle + \frac{E}{\langle a \rangle^4} \quad (31)$$

is a total energy density in some fixed instant of time with the Hubble constant $H_0 = \partial_t \langle a \rangle / \langle a \rangle$. The first term is the energy density of the vacuum with the equation of state $p_v = -\rho_v = -\langle V \rangle$, the second term is the matter energy density, while the last describes the contribution of the radiation.

Using the wavefunction (18) and passing in (16) and (23) to the limit of large quantum numbers, for the energy densities of the vacuum Ω_v and matter Ω_{qm} we

obtain (in units of critical density $\rho_c = H_0^2$)

$$\Omega_v = \frac{M}{12 \langle a \rangle^3 H_0^2}, \quad \Omega_{qm} = \frac{16 M}{\langle a \rangle^3 H_0^2}, \quad (32)$$

where $M = m(s + \frac{1}{2})$ is the mass of the universe with “radius” $\langle a \rangle = \sqrt{N/2}$. From the definition of z in (19) it follows that $\langle a \rangle = M + E/(4\langle a \rangle)$. For the matter-dominant era, $M \gg E/(4\langle a \rangle)$, from (30) and (32) we find

$$\Omega = 1.066, \quad \Omega_v = 0.006, \quad \Omega_{qm} = 1.060, \quad (33)$$

where $\Omega = \langle \rho \rangle H_0^{-2}$. From (33) it follows that the quantum universe in all states with $n \gg 1$ and $s \gg 1$ looks like spatially flat. A main contribution to the energy density of the universe is made by the collective excitations of the scalar field above its true vacuum. The total density Ω can be compared with the present-day density of our universe, $\Omega_0 = 1 \pm 0.12$ [1] or $\Omega_0 = 1.025 \pm 0.075$ [2].

The matter quanta are bosons. They have non-zero mass/energy, while their state according to (23) depends on the state of the gravitational field. This means that the matter quanta are subject to the action of gravity. Due to this fact they can decay into the real particles (for example, baryons and leptons) that have to be present in the universe in small amount (because of the weakness of the gravitational interaction). The main contribution to the energy density will still be made by the matter quanta.

In order to make a numerical estimate we consider the matter quanta (ϕ) decay scheme

$$\phi \rightarrow b + n \rightarrow \phi' + \nu + p + e^- + \bar{\nu}. \quad (34)$$

The daughter quantum b decays into the quantum ϕ' of the residual excitation, which reveals itself in the universe in the form of the non-baryonic dark matter, and neutrino ν , which takes away the spin and main part of the energy of the kinetic motions of the particles after the decay of the quantum ϕ . The density of (optically bright and dark) baryons equals to

$$\Omega_B = \frac{16 \bar{s} m_{proton}}{\langle a \rangle^3 H_0^2}, \quad (35)$$

where $m_{proton} = 0.938$ GeV is a proton mass and $\bar{s}$ is an average number of the quanta ϕ which decay during the time interval Δt . Taking into account (32) we find

$$\Omega_B = \Omega_{qm} \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2g}} \frac{m_{proton}}{m_p} (1 - \exp\{-\Gamma_{tot} \Delta t\}), \quad (36)$$

where Γ_{tot} is the mean probability of the decay on the time interval Δt , $g = G m^2$ is the gravitational coupling constant for the quantum ϕ with mass m .

It is obvious that calculating Γ_{tot} one must take into account both vertices of the decay (34) with the same accuracy. On the order of magnitude, the correct result can be obtained in a first approximation which parametrizes the vertices by the corresponding coupling constants. Then assuming that the decay $\phi \rightarrow \phi' \nu n$ is caused by the action of the gravitational forces, for the mean probability of the decay we find a simple expression

$$\Gamma_{tot} = \alpha g \Delta m, \quad (37)$$

where α is a fine-structure constant, $\Delta m = 1.293$ MeV is a difference in masses of neutron and proton. Since we are interested in matter density in the universe today, then we choose Δt equal to the age of the universe, $\Delta t = 14$ Gyr [3]. Then substituting (37) in (36) and using the numerical values of the parameters we find the dependence of the density Ω_B on the coupling constant g . The function $\Omega_B(g)$ obtained in such approach vanishes at $g = 0$ and tends to zero as $g^{-1/2}$ at $g \rightarrow \infty$. It has one maximum,

$$\Omega_B = 0.128 \quad \text{at} \quad g = 20 \times 10^{-38}. \quad (38)$$

The mass of matter quantum ϕ corresponding to such coupling constant is equal to $m = 5.459$ GeV. The density of the non-baryonic dark matter is

$$\Omega_\bullet = \Omega_B \frac{m_{\phi'}}{m_{proton}}, \quad (39)$$

where, according to (34), $m_{\phi'} = m - m_n - \overline{m}_\nu - Q$ is a mass of the quantum ϕ' , $\overline{m}_\nu \equiv m_\nu^2/(2p_\nu)$, m_ν and p_ν are the neutrino mass and momentum, Q is the energy of the relative motion of all particles. Since the contribution from $\Omega_\bullet$ to the matter density Ω_M of our universe is not at least smaller than Ω_B [2]-[4], then the mass $m_{\phi'}$ can possess the values within the limits $0.938 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{\phi'} \leq 4.519 \text{ GeV}$ depending on the value of Q . Such particles are non-relativistic and non-baryonic dark matter is classified as cold. Hence we find

$$0.128 \leq \Omega_\bullet \leq 0.617. \quad (40)$$

Correspondingly the total matter density $\Omega_M = \Omega_B + \Omega_\bullet$ can possess any values within the limits

$$0.256 \leq \Omega_M \leq 0.745. \quad (41)$$

A spread in theoretical values of the densities $\Omega_\bullet$ and Ω_M is caused by the fact that the energy Q is an undefined

parameter of the theory. With the regard for this remark one can compare (41) with the matter density of our universe [3]: $0.2 \lesssim \Omega_M^{exp} \lesssim 0.4$, where a spread in values is related with inaccuracy of measurements. We accept as an illustration the value $\Omega_M \approx 0.3$ which is in good agreement with theoretical calculation (41). Then we find $\Omega_\bullet \approx 0.172$, $m_{\phi'} \approx 1.260$ GeV and $Q \approx 3.259$ GeV. Such kinetic energy corresponds to the temperature $T \approx 3.78 \times 10^{13}$ K. This temperature can be in the primary plasma in the early universe at $\Delta t \sim 10^{-7}$ s.

According to (33) and (41) the residual density $\Omega_X = \Omega_{qm} - \Omega_M$ can possess the values within the limits

$$0.315 \leq \Omega_X \leq 0.804. \quad (42)$$

The observations in our universe give the restriction $0.6 \lesssim \Omega_X^{exp} \lesssim 0.8$ [3]. These values agree with (42), where, however, a spread in values is related with the uncertainty in Q as in (41).

In our approach according to (31), (32), (35), and (39) the residual density Ω_X has only dynamical nature and it can be attributed to the optically dark (nonluminous) energy.

In conclusion we note that the present-day density of optically bright and dark baryons in our universe is estimated as $\Omega_B^{exp} = \Omega_b + \Omega_{db} \sim 0.045$ [2]-[4]. This value does not contradict with (38), since the latter determines the maximum possible baryon matter density.

The experimental value of the cold dark matter density $\Omega_{cdm} \sim 0.3$ falls within the limits of its theoretical counterpart (40). The density of the optically bright baryons can be estimated as $\Omega_* = \frac{1}{16} \Omega_B$. Then according to (38) the contribution from the optically bright baryons must not exceed $\Omega_* \sim 0.008$. This value is in good agreement with the evidence from the bright stars observations $\Omega_* \sim 0.005$ [4, 24].

References

- [1] *deBernardis P. et al.*// Nature. - 2000. - **404**. - P.955.
- [2] *Sievers J.L. et al.*// astro-ph/0205387.
- [3] *Fukugita M. and Hogan C.J.*// Phys. Rev. - 2002. - **D66**. - P.010001.
- [4] *Turner M.S.*// astro-ph/0106035; astro-ph/0202007; astro-ph/0207297.
- [5] *Ostriker J.P. and Steinhardt P.J.*// Nature. - 1995. - **377**. - P.600; astro-ph/9505066.
- [6] *Bancall N.A., Ostriker J.P., Perlmutter S., and Steinhardt P.J.*// astro-ph/9906463.
- [7] *Perlmutter S. et al.*// Int. J. Mod. Phys. - 2000. - **A15**, S1. - P.715; Astrophys. J. - 1999. - **517**. - P.565; astro-ph/9812133.

- [8] *Riess A.G. et al.*// Astron. J. - 1998. - **116**. - P.1009; astro-ph/9805201; Astrophys. J. - 2001. - **560**. - P.49; astro-ph/0104455.
- [9] *Kuzmichev V.V.*// Ukr. Fiz. J. - 1998. - **43**. - P.896.
- [10] *Kuzmichev V.V.*// Yad. Fiz. - 1999. - **62**. - P.758 [Phys. At. Nucl. (Engl. Transl.). - 1999. - **62**. - P.708]; gr-qc/0002029.
- [11] *Kuzmichev V.V.*// Yad. Fiz. - 1999. - **62**. - P.1625 [Phys. At. Nucl. (Engl. Transl.). - 1999. - **62**. - P.1524]; gr-qc/0002030.
- [12] *Kuzmichev V.E. and Kuzmichev V.V.*// in Hot Points in Astrophysics. - JINR, Dubna, 2000. - P.67.
- [13] *Kuzmichev V.E. and Kuzmichev V.V.*// Eur. Phys. J. - 2002. - **C23**. - P.337; astro-ph/0111438.
- [14] *Dirac P.A.M.*. Lectures on quantum mechanics. - Yeshiva University, New York, 1964.
- [15] *Ponomarev V.N., Barvinski A.O., and Obukhov Yu.N.*. Geometrodynamical methods and gauge approach to the theory of gravitational interactions. - Energoatomizdat, Moscow, 1985.
- [16] *Weinberg C.S.*// Rev. Mod. Phys. - 1989. - **61**. - P.1.
- [17] *Linde A.D.*. Elementary particle physics and inflationary cosmology. - Harwood, Chur, 1990.
- [18] *Dolgov A.D., Zeldovich Ya.B., and Sazhin M.V.*. Cosmology of the Early Universe. - Mosk. Gos. Univ., Moscow 1988.
- [19] *Davydov A.S.*. Quantum mechanics. - Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965.
- [20] *Peskin M.E. and Schroeder D.V.*. An introduction to quantum field theory. - Addison-Wesley, New York, 1995.
- [21] *Lyth D.H. and Rizzo A.*// Phys. Rep. - 1999. - **314**. - P.1; hep-ph/9807278.
- [22] *Baz' A.I., Zel'dovich Ya.B., and Perelomov A.M.*. Scattering, Reactions, and Decays in Nonrelativistic Quantum Mechanics. - Israel Program of Sci. Transl., Jerusalem 1966.
- [23] *Landau L.D. and Lifshitz E.M.*. Classical theory of fields. - Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1962.
- [24] *Cole S.M. et al.*// MNRAS. - 2001. - **326**. - P.255.